# 2018 9MR Road Assessment April 2018

Compiled by Kirk Johnson

There are some quick rough overall budget calculations at the end of this report, synopsizing a few overall budget perspectives the BOD may choose to use right now; there are way more maintenance needs than the budget allows, so some tough choosing for spending needs to be incorporated.

### Purpose and Use of This Report

For the Board's fiscal management use:

- 1. A total diagnosis and current price estimation of all needed 9MR road maintenance and repairs, not including weed/vegetation spray. Immediate, mid, and longer-term items are included, as well as suggested long-term 'capital improvement' items that either improve driver safety and/or become more relevant as traffic increases.
- 2. Expenditure items herein are assigned suggested prioritization, based on this order:
  - 1. road plane erosion control and minimizing threat of road washout
  - 2. maintaining functional watershed mechanics
  - 3. maintaining roads being able to support the weight and duty of year-round auto traffic and seasonal large truck traffic
  - 4. driver safety
  - 5. higher traffic road sections maintained ahead of lesser traffic sections

#### Scope of Analysis and Report

- One Saturday in early spring, myself, Brett Coffman, Craig Jordan, and Ron Hesse toured Divisions 1, 3, 4, 7 and 8.
- The next Sunday myself, Craig Jordan and Ron Hesse toured the remaining Divisions.
- The day before, I reinspected many spots on Division 7 in consideration of Felicity and Brian Rabe's inspection of Division 7 roads (see their report, attached).
- One week later I met with Tim Roberts, 9MR road contractor, to go over many aspects of the touring and other related short and long-term road questions and feedback, as well as inquiring of many points of his knowledge of maintenance history.
- Throughout the time above I counseled occasionally with Brett Coffman for his feedback on methods and pricing, including he inspecting several additional questionable spots for subsequent feedback.
- This report was written and priced at the responsibility of Kirk Johnson.

#### Additional Comments

- Sales taxes are not factored into prices shown.
- Prices are based on spring 2018 pricing.
- Items that have lettered designations correspond to letters shown on official drive maps, per each Division.
- Depending on the choosing of a final list of repairs and the order in which those repairs are ordered to be done, the prices shown may vary depending on efficiency for work contractors.

- Inflation price increases should be given to long-term and Capital Improvements prices herein if those items are performed in the future and not now.
- The installation of replenishment gravel (gravel needed because of regular traffic wear) is designated as a separate section under this report. Current 'best calculations' (see linked report at <a href="http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/bcvl.pdf">http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/bcvl.pdf</a>) for gravel wear estimate that at current traffic levels and prices we should be installing approximately \$8100 (w/tax) worth of gravel per year to replenish worn gravel.
- Itemized prices include figuring for machine location fees.
- Raking is prescribed to perform two benefits: 1) crowning roads so that water runs off to the side ditches and culverts ASAP, and 2) to remove rutting running down the road planes, such rutting which causes water to increasingly erode and soften road planes.

### Legend for Prioritization

There is a "STAGE" designated after each item price:

- STAGE 1 items represent items that should be sought to be budgeted and performed in the immediate maintenance season, these items presenting immediate threat to road composition and also pose greater unnecessary higher repair costs if left unperformed.
- STAGE 2 items are lesser in priority as a threat to road composition or driver safety but should be given consideration to performing ASAP, budget depending. They may also be related to the fact that road of subject is currently less traveled than other main roads. The performance of these items also represents lowering long-term maintenance costs because if the items are performed they will eliminate or lower the need for otherwise increased regular ongoing maintenance items.
- STAGE 3 items are longer-term items that represent a deficiency in a road section but it's not critical to current safety or maintenance needs, especially considering the tight current budget constraints.

#### Other Legend/Definitions

- TR = Tim Roberts (main road work contractor)
- rip-rap = large diameter jagged shale gravel
- deeper raking = additional passes of raking, beyond typical, meant to aggressively affect road crowning because road crowning has been neglected; 25% added to typical per-mile costs. Please see this link <a href="http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/bmcs1.pdf">http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/bmcs1.pdf</a> to view actual historical raking costs per mile that this assessment/report involves.

#### I. General Items

- - 1. Initial assessment tour mileage = 115 miles x \$.535 (current federal mileage rate) = \$62.00.
  - 2. Another total tour through the season for work order creation, etc. = \$62.00
  - 3. Equivalent of half a tour, to administer/check work progress and issues = \$31.00
  - 4. Paper copies of road drive maps for tour and work order use, distribution to committee and BOD members = \$15.00.
- 4. Culvert inlet/outlet machine cleaning ...... \$375.00 STAGE 1
- - They reduce the width of the driving plain, causing uneven wear ('one-tracking') on roads (which channels erosion straight down roads), and
  - They cause snow plowing to be narrower in the winter.

Both issues also increase driving risk and decrease on-coming traffic passage. Tydoga LLC, Tyler Coffman @ 206.730.6446, has submitted the above *estimate* for trimming/removing all trees and shrubs within 5' of all roadsides, and to de-limb larger trees from the ground up to 16' high on the trees that are better left alone but just limbed. The estimate is 'time and materials', and I was told that it is more likely to be toward as little as \$6000 and less likely toward \$10,000. The trimming can also be done in portions over successive years, removing the worst first, etc, and allowing us to manage the cash flow better. 2 or 3 sessions has been consented by them. Trees are the property of the land owner; they will be left laying on the owners' land.

a standard procedure. This rough-guess estimation is for the contractor to take the time to mark the suspected ground for the utility services to come out and mark line positions.

- 11. Funds for state-required Reserve Fund Study ...... \$?????? STAGE 1+ RCW 64.38.065 requires the BOD satisfy reserve fund study specifications; this has not been achieved. A comprehensive study/review by the BOD of what the scope of these requirements and costs are is yet to be done; it could involve being required to hire an independent professional reserve study consultant (as the recently-resigned BOD paid a lawyer to start the process for), and it might be possible that some qualified HOA volunteers may also be able to conduct this study. If we have to hire the independent professional... The most recentlyreceived HOA attorney bill shows that the prior BOD paid them to find qualified sources but as far as records show there has been no pricing information secured, so we can only speculate what that potential cost could be. Given the scope of professional talents a qualified reserve study professional would appear to need (accounting, fiscal planning, civil engineering), and given how much 'assets' our corporation has (the responsibility to maintain 36 miles of roads) I speculate that the professional hourly rate and total hours will be significant. It would be wise to entertain the thought of \$8000 - \$20,000 if for no other reason than to be realistic about the level of fiscal commitment we may be in for. We are currently in violation of state law by not having performed this study by now, and it is becoming public knowledge among the membership about this violation , as well as needing the critical fiscal analysis such a study will provide to us to plan for sustainability of the HOA; the BOD has been running more or less

blind/ignorant about long-term fiscal sustainability, and this study will clear up those huge unsettled fiscal determinations. The following linked

(<u>http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/oasj2.pdf</u>) was written to inform the reader in general about the issue of the required reserve fund study.

- - 1. The current sanding regimen and physical set up has been too infrequent and amateur to be able to perform adequate levels of sanding.
  - 2. The BOD has requested too little and too infrequent sanding for the contractor to financially justify investing in a feasible set up. The sand needs to be purchased dry and kept dry under a covered building that is also tall enough for the contractor to machine load his into his sanding hopper. The contractor has a covered space that might be able to be used for this, but this requires occupying the value of it and fiscally justifying it.
  - 3. More sanding or a minimum payment to the contractor has to be ordered from the contractor for him to invest in the proper sanding set up and the expense and time costs for him to respond to sanding calls.
  - 4. I asked him to work up a proposed pricing/ordering scenario that can be financially feasible for him. This could be in the \$1000 \$2000 range, but no fiscal planning should be made until a firm estimate is given from the contractor.

#### Work Spots by Division

Some of the items below may be labeled by a quoted alphabetic letter (eg. "A") and correspond to official marked up copies of road drive maps created during initial touring assessment

# II. Division 1

- 2. Machine ditching, upside of north Point Drive/Sunset Ridge intersection. \$100.00 **STAGE 1** About 40-60'.

3.	"A" \$200.00 <b>STAGE 1</b>	
	Approximately .4 miles south on Point Drive from Homestead Spur; about a 500' stretch	
	road; aggressive raking for crown and corner roiling to improve watershed off of road.	

- 4. Raking mileage, 2.5 x \$333.00 ...... \$840.00 **STAGE 1** Rake for crowning from Point Drive south, at Nine Mile Road, to the "A" position in #3 above.
- 5. Deep raking #4 above; 25% added ...... \$210.00 **STAGE 1**
- 7. Deep raking #6 above; 25% added ...... \$125.00 **STAGE 1**
- - 1. \$2340.00 for 4" thick x 396' long x 18' wide 1 1/4"-minus base gravel.
  - 2. \$225.00 for TR dozer time to grade above.
  - 3. \$1925.00 for 3" thick x 396' long x 18' wide gray McNall 5/8"-minus gravel topping; tis product can be raked/graded long-term, and it stays put on inclined roads.
  - 4. \$450.00 for TR to crown rake/doze.

#### III. Division 2

1.	Rake mileage; I+mile	\$380.00	STAGE 1
	Allen road, from Nine Mile road to the end of Allen 2 turn-around.		

- 2. Deep raking #1 above; 25% added ..... \$125.00 STAGE 1
- 3. Condition erosive deep ditch 200' up Allen drive ...... \$625.00 **STAGE 2** 
  - 1. \$500 (2) loads rip-rap
  - 2. \$125.00 for TR mini excavator to shape the product in the ditch.

#### IV. Division 3

- 1. Rake mileage, plus deeper raking; .83 miles x \$333.00 ..... \$370.00 **STAGE 1**

- 1. \$500.00 for (1) truck load large stacking rock (dump this on lower Div. 8 for use of excess).
- 2. \$125.00 for TR to load necessary amount of large stacking rock and transport it to Wagon Wheel site.
- 3. \$300 for TR to stack rock in inlet.
- 4. Owner at 46 Wagon Wheel says they'll draw out the siltation/growth at the outlet end of the culvert crossing Wagon Wheel, no-charge to the HOA.
- 3. TR machine ditch upstream ...... \$75.00 STAGE 1

### V. Division 4

- 2. Deep raking, #1 above; 25% added ...... \$96.00 **STAGE 1**
- - 1. \$200.00 for TR excavator time.
  - 2. \$75.00 for deeper rake crowning in the area.
- 5. Rake mileage; 1.2 miles Pine Bluff from Chesaw Road x \$333.00 ...... \$400.00 STAGE 1
- 6. Deep raking, #5 above; 25% added ...... \$100.00 **STAGE 1**

# VI. Division 5

- - 1. \$250.00 for (1) load of rip-rap (½ will be used here, remaining stored for later/other use).
  - 2. \$100.00 for TR machine time to place rip-rap, relocated eroded material back onto road.

- 3. Rake mileage; 2.2 miles x \$333.00 ..... \$740.00 **STAGE 1** Starting at the entrance to Mallard Drive from Nine Mile Road, go 1.5 miles and continue .7 miles down Meadowlark.
- 4. Deep raking, #3 above; 25% added ...... \$185.00 **STAGE 1**

- - 1. \$120.00 for TR machine time.
  - 2. \$200.00 for rake sloping/crowning.
- - 1. \$1932.00 for 2" angular rock x 12" thick = 69 yards x \$28.00.
  - 2. \$300.00 for TR machine time to grade 2" rock.
  - 3. \$503.00 labor and materials to place industry-standard siltation fabric over the 2" rock.
  - 4. \$330.00 for (2) loads of TR pit run topping.
  - 5. \$120.00 for TR machine time to grade the pit run.
- - 1. \$644.00 for 23 yards 2" angular rock.
  - 2. \$150.00 for TR machine time, walk-down.
  - 3. \$503.00 materials and labor to place industry-standard siltation fabric over the 2" rock.
  - 4. \$330.00 for (2) loads of TR pit run.
  - 5. \$125.00 for TR machine time to shape/grade the pit run.

- 6. \$120.00 for TR machine time to deepen the seepage ditch on the north side of the road where strong hydrostatic pressure exists.
- - 1. \$225.00 for (1) load rip-rap.
  - 2. \$150.00 for TR machine time to place rip-rap on erosion embankment spot.
- 12. Stabilize loose earth on embankment with grass planting ....... \$100.00 **STAGE 1** About mid-way down the south side of the Mallard drive creek ravine, on the downhill side embankment, there's a wide area of fresh subsoil placement from prior year's sloughage that is eroding into the creek and also undermining about 2' wide of the road.

#### VII. Division 6

- - 1. \$350.00 for TR machine time to transport some fill to the spot and place it and sunsequent

rip-rap.

- 2. \$250.00 (1) load rip-rap.

#### VIII. Division 7 (map 1 of 2)

- 1. Rake mileage; 3.5 x \$333.00, all of West Corral ...... \$1165.00 **STAGE 1**

#### IX. Division 7 (map 2 of 2)

1.	Rake Horsetrail drive, mileage; .75 x \$333.00	\$280.00 <b>STAGE 1</b>
2.	Rake Big Rock road up to Silver Spur, mileage; .5 x \$333.00	\$167.00 <b>STAGE 1</b>
3.	Deeper raking #2 above; 25% added	\$42.00 <b>STAGE 1</b>

- 1. \$225.00 TR machine time to reshape corner.
- 2. \$225.00 (1) load rip-rap.
- 3. \$188.00 TR machine time to place rip-rap.
- 6. "G" = improve corner water roiling to prevent erosion and siltation ........ \$225.00 STAGE 1 On the sharp corner turn and incline on West Corral immediately across the road from trailhead to the equestrian trail on lots 32/33, reshape the inside part of the turn, and the road crown up from it, to deliver road watershed off the side of the road and disallow water from running down the road and eroding and silting out across West Corral just below the turn. Improve associated ditching. Charge is for TR machine time.

#### X. Division 8 (map 1 of 3)

- - 1. \$225.00 TR machine time.

- 2. \$225.00 (1) load of rip-rap.
- - 1. \$100.00 man labor.
  - 2. \$130.00 for seed and delivery.
- 3. Rake mileage, all Bighorn, 2.25 x \$333.00 ..... \$750.00 STAGE 1
- 4. Deep rake for #3 above; added 25% ...... \$188.00 **STAGE 1** Added emphasis on the first 200' to get rid of erosion ruts in road.
- 5. Rake mileage, all Pine Grove road, .5 x \$333.00 ..... \$167.00 STAGE 1
- 6. Deep rake for #5 above, added 25% ...... \$42.00 **STAGE 1**
- - 1. \$1050.00 TR large machine time.
  - 2. \$450.00 TR truck time.
  - 3. \$100.00 cast seed labor.
  - 4. \$130.00 seed.
- - 1. \$675.00 for (3) loads rip-rap.
  - 2. \$750.00 for TR machine time and dump trailer.
- 9. Rake mileage for Gold Rush Ridge road; 2 miles x \$333.00 ..... \$666.00 STAGE 1
- 10. Deep raking for #9 above; added 25% ..... \$167.00 STAGE 1

- 1. \$225.00 for (1) load rip-rap.
- 2. \$300.00 for TR machine time.

### XI. Division 8 (map 2 of 3)

- - 1. \$600.00 for TR machine time.
  - 2. \$275.00 for gravel.
  - 3. \$75.00 for raking Lake View Spur.

### XII. Gravel Placement

Typically there are two reasons for gravel placement:

- Improvement of a particular deficient spot or better erosion control, and
- Replenishment of gravel in road sections that have had traffic wear; referred to as Replenishment Gravel. Again, about \$8000.00 worth of gravel is currently worn away each year from auto traffic (<u>http://assuredcomputer.com/kirk/bcvl.pdf</u>). When budgeting, the BOD could choose to count improvement gavel (bullet 1 above) as part of the annual allotment for Replenishment Gravel, but that should be carefully considered and not just automatically classified that way, otherwise well-traveled road sections will get neglected of needed gravel replenishment.

During the tour for this road assessment, several road sections were identified as benefiting from gavel placement for the first reason above; those spots are listed first below. Then all other Replenishment Gravel is listed below that, all in order of highest to lowest priority based on traffic level and history of neglect, if applicable. **BOD please note:** it can be easy to not identify the need for replenishment gravel... a road may look and drive seemingly fine but that does not mean that the gravel surface is not slowly insidiously wearing away and will one day be too thin to support year-round road traffic without damage and impassibility; then needing a *huge* investment in gravel placement all of the sudden.

Civil engineering rule of thumb for gravel placement: install gravel no less than twice as thick as the largest diameter of rock in the gravel. If you don't do this then the larger aggregate in the layer is unlikely to bind and will roll around loose and spread off the road, and will also increase loss of traction for auto tires which in turn causes premature washboard development. This has been a bit of a problem in history here. So for example, 1 ¼-minus gravel should be spread no less than 2.5" thick.

No machining (raking, dozer spreading) costs are included; just truck spreading of gravel is included.

- Division 7, map 2 of 2, "F" ...... \$1170.00
   All the same discussion and considerations as #3 above; 3" thick x 16' wide x 300' long = 45 yards x \$26.00.
- - 1. First 400' is inclined and has good base = 2" thick x 16' wide x 400' long McNall tight gray shale = 40 yards = (4) trucks x \$275.00 = \$1100.00.
  - Next 600' is flat and can therefore receive TR's better pitrun = 3" thick x 16' wide x 600' long = 89 yards = (9) truck loads x \$165.00 = \$1485.00
  - 3. Final 1000 feet is inclined and has good base = 2" thick x 16' wide x 1000' = 99 yards = (10)

truck loads of McNall tight gray shale = \$2750.00

- - I recommend 3" thick gravel at a minimum to help get up to the top of the base rock (2" thick would work decently as well)... 3" thick x 16' wide x 350' long = 1400 yards = 5 truck loads of McNall tight gray shale x \$275.00 = \$1375.00

- 12. Division 4, Point Drive (south, across from Wagon Wheel) ...... \$2475.00
  From Nine Mile Road going down the first 1000' feet of road; this section among the most traveled roads on the Ranch and has never received Replenishment Gravel. Its a flat road section. TR pit run 3" thick x 16' x 1000' long = (15) truck loads x \$165.00 = \$2475.00

intersection. Not much topcoat gravel to be able to rake crown, and this spot does erode and need shaping. There is currently one full time residence traveling up that road. (2) truck loads of McNall gray shale x \$275.00 = \$550.00

- 16. Division 5, Meadowlark road ...... \$825.00 Starting approximately 800' from Mallard Drive intersection we could install some Replenishment Gravel. There are two full-time residences down that road, the Border Patrol has always traveled it well, and there's never been any added gravel placed on it. The road base is structurally good and has a lot of base rock sticking up. McNall gray gravel 2" thick x 16' wide, (3) loads = \$825.00.

#### XIII. Capital Improvements

The following items are large expense improvements that are not necessary to do now or soon but are on the horizon as traffic levels increase and as funds become available.

- - 1. Excavate 12' deep x 100' long x 25' high using a large excavator and transport it ½ mile

away to the wideout on Gold Rush Ridge road and store it there for later fill/fines use. 1111 cu. yds. = 111 truck loads / 45 minutes per load to fill, transport and dump / \$120.00 per hour for excavator or truck = \$90.00 per load x 111 loads = \$9990.00.

- 2. Move and replace the existing large bolstering rocks = \$700.00 machine time.
- 3. Purchase and stack at least one more level of lareg bolstering rock to better withstand slope erosion = \$3000.

So the prescription given by TR, prior road manager Don Coffman, and myself is to widen the south side and take that material and place it on the north side problem area – raising up the road to eliminate the steep short incline – and take out a little more of the north side uphill embankment to further widen that corner so its not 'blind' any more.

- Regarding removing the excess dirt from the south side and moving it to the north side, TR estimated \$15,000 7 years ago.
- Don Coffman estimated the same work to cost \$20,000 7 years ago.
- I have worked with TR for 20 years in private commerce and for Ranch maintenance. My experience of him regarding premeditated pricing is 1) he doesn't like to do it (demonstrates a level of insecurity) and 2) he has historically priced things on the low side.
- I worked for several years with Don Coffman also, he being a career independent road and utility builder. My experience of him was that he was more comfortable and experienced with premeditated pricing.
- So if I start with Don's \$20,000 price as statistically more reliable, and increase that by the inflation rate over seven years = \$22,200.
- Add \$2775.00 to spread 4" thick x 18' wide x 500' long gravel on the roads after all the fill and changes.
- \$450.00 for machine work to spread that gravel.
- Now add the machine time to widen the north side blind corner = remove 80' long x 8' deep x 18' high volume of dirt (427 cu.yds = (43) truck loads) = 20 minutes per load to excavate it into a truck and move it about 200' away to the flat nearby to dump it and store it for later use, @ \$120.00 per hour = \$40.00 per load x (43) = \$1720.00.
- Now add installing a culvert and ditch = \$1400.00.

estimate is to install (40) dams at the materials and machine cost of \$200.00 each.

4. Install rip-rap/large pit run in ditches to control erosion ...... \$8000.00 **STAGE 2/3** This is for the same reasons stated for #3 above.

There are a multitude of other possible Capital Improvement and reserve fund expenditure projects that may come to identification/need; the ones listed above are only the obvious and likely most-pressing ones. Other examples include:

- Repairs of road embankments that will be washed out because of larger seasonal runoff erosion, like on Big Rock road.
- Repair of more extreme washouts from culverts being overwhelmed occasionally.
- Additional culverts identified as desired.
- Increased traffic, and how that will cost more in gravel erosion and widening of unsafe stretches for oncoming traffic.

#### XIV. Quick Budget Thoughts

- Total of all STAGE 1 items above, with 8.2% sales tax: \$53,987.47
- Total of all 'critical' (raking for crowning, ditch clearing, associated expenses): **\$20,671.61** Does not include any gravel added anywhere, no deposit into reserve fund savings, and no money put toward the reserve study.
- Total needed to come under compliance with CCRs and RCWs:
  - \$53,987.47 STAGE 1 items (with \$8100 gravel replenishment, \$3357 reserve fund deposit)
  - <u>\$14,000</u> for average guestimate for professional Reserve Study, per RCWs
     **\$67,987.47**